IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 24098 of 2004

Between:

The Chinnaganjam Salt Workers Coop Production and Sales Society, Licence No.73 and 86 Chinnaganjam Post and Mandal Prakasam District rep. by its President M.Ayyapareddy.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Salt Commissioner, Z.A LAVAWN Bhavan, Lavan Marg, Jalana Dungari, Jaipur, Rajasthan State.
2 The Dy.Salt Commissioner, II Floor, II Block, Shastri Bhavan, 26, Haddows Road, Chennai.
3 Asst.Salt Commissioner, Kakinada, E.G.District.
4 The Superintendent of Salt, Penuguduru Post, Guzijanapalli (M), East Godavari Dist.
5 The Factory Officer, South Salt Factory, Chinnaganjam Post and Mandal Prakasam District.
6 The Factory Officer, South Salt Factory, Chinnaganjam Post and Mandal Prakasam District.

..RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, or proceedings or order or direction, declaring the action of the Resspondents 1 to 3 leading upto the issuance of the proceedings Dt.7/8-9-2004 inF.No.11/Lease/L.No.73/98/953-56 as being illegal, unjust, improper, capricious, biased, without opportunity and violative of Principles of India, apart from cannons of Justice and pass such other orders as.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MRS.A.MALATHI

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.ARAJASHEKAR REDDY (SC FOR CG)

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioner stated to be a Salt Workers Cooperative Production and Sales society, filed the present writ petition questioning
the order dated.7/8-9-2004 directing the petitioner society to pay the dues towards differential Assignment fee, differential ground rent and differential security deposit.

Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the members of the petitioner society are poor and are not in a position to pay the increased licence fee and made a representation to the first respondent on
6-10-2004 requesting to consider the matter sympathetically and continue the old rate of licence fee to the petitioner society. It is further stated that no orders are passed on the said representation and the existing licence is going to expire on 31-12-2004.

Heard the learned counsel for petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for Central Government. Both counsel agreed for disposal of the writ petition directing the first respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner.

Since the representation of the petitioner dated 6-10-2004 is pending consideration before the first respondent, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 6-10-2004 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, expeditiously, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

23-12-2004

To

1.. The Salt Commissioner, Z.A LAVAWN Bhavan, Lavan Marg, Jalana

Dungari, Jaipur, Rajasthan State.
2.. The Dy.Salt Commissioner, II Floor, II Block, Shastri Bhavan, 26,

Haddows Road, Chennai.
3.. Asst.Salt Commissioner, Kakinada, E.G.District.
4.. The Superintendent of Salt, Penuguduru Post, Guzijanapalli (M),

East Godavari Dist.
5.. The Factory Officer, South Salt Factory, Chinnaganjam Post and

Mandal Prakasam District.
6.. The Factory Officer, South Salt Factory, Chinnaganjam Post and

Mandal Prakasam District.

7.2CCs to

8.2CD copies

9.2CCs to